

Thema: **Complaint about the non-consideration of the shareholders of General Motors Corp**  
Datum: 20.03.2011 16:11:02 Westeuropäische Normalzeit  
Von: [ThomasZuern011@aol.com](mailto:ThomasZuern011@aol.com)  
An: [edmund.hegmann@web.de](mailto:edmund.hegmann@web.de)

Thomas Zürn  
Alter Mühlenweg 17  
D-50679 Köln  
Germany

Cologne, March 20 th., 2011  
(Germany)(221) 8809460

United States Bankruptcy Court  
Southern District of New York  
Care off the Judge Mr. Robert E. Gerber  
Courtroom 621  
One Bowling Green  
USA - New York  
NY 10004-1408

My complaint about the non-consideration of the shareholders from the General Motors Corporation by the participation of the new shares from the General Motors /my written complaint from December 3th., 2010

Dear Mrs. Deputy Blum,  
Dear Sir Judge,

as a shareholder of the General Motors Corp. I will put in an application for a commitment of an expiry date.

For the calculation of the participation from the promised 15.200.000 shares of the issue of the new GM (=one percent of 1.520.000.000 shares) you should lay down a day at maturity. The cutoff-date should justly be at March 1 th. in the year 2011.

In fairness you have to take the first day in March 2011 for the upon expiry. Because you have pronounced the cancelation of the Motors Liquidation Corp. at March 2 th., 2011, it should be justly if you would consulting the day before: March 1 th., 2011.

Since we have issued 611 Millions of shares from the General Motors Corporation (=Motors Liquidation Corp.) you should participate for forty shares of Motors Liquidation Corp. one share of the new General Motors.

The day of participation has justly to be the day of the upon expiry at March 1 th. in 2011. (24.00 h)

As the grievance I have given you the structure of my letter to the Chief of the House of Representatives, Mr. John Boehner, from February 5 th. , 2011.

I am looking forward to hear from you.

Your's faithfully

  
Thomas Zürn

Shareholder of the  
General Motors Corp.

Structure: The Letter from February 5. th., 2011

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002

THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002  
JULY 1, 1992  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK  
10002